

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/364,317	07/30/1999		REINER WAMSSER	10191/1145	9279
26646 7:	590 0	09/09/2003			
KENYON &		EXAMINER			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				MASKULINSK	, MICHAEL C
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2184	19
				DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	1.1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/364,317	WAMSSER ET AL	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael C Masku		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence ad	ldress
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	REPLY IS SET TO EXP	RE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after leed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  FOR 1.136(a). In no event, howevertion.  ays, a reply within the statutory minir orly period will apply and will expire So, by statute, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considered timel  IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this concepted the considered that the constant of t	y. ommunication.
<b>0.0.03</b> 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 14 July 2003.		
2a)⊠	•	)☐ This action is non-fin	al.	
3)		· <del></del>		e merits is
,—	closed in accordance with the practice tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the app	olication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from considera	tion.	
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requiren	nent.	
• •	tion Papers			
/	The specification is objected to by the E			
10)∐	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
441	Applicant may not request that any object The proposed drawing correction filed o	= : :		
וויי ו	If approved, corrected drawings are requi			ei.
12\□	The oath or declaration is objected to by		JII.	
,	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	THE EXAMINET.		
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35	11 S C & 119(a)_(d) or (f)	
,—	)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	i loreign phonty under 55	0.0.0. § 110(a)-(d) 01 (i).	
a,	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been recei	hev	
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Stage
* ;	application from the Internati See the attached detailed Office action f	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	o.ugo
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
	a)  The translation of the foreign langu Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	- ,		
Attachmei	nt(s)			
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/364,317

Art Unit: 2184

#### **Final Office Action**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poisner, U.S. Patent 6,012,154, and further in view of Kadnier, Windows NT 4: The Complete Reference.

### Referring to claim 1:

a. In column 4, lines 14-26, Poisner discloses a processor, coupled to a host bus, for processing information (controller). In column 2, lines 31-52 and in Figure 2, Poisner discloses an operating system-related software agent running on a processor that is separate from the processor (the stored-program control). However, Poisner doesn't explicitly disclose that the operating system is a real-time operating system. In Chapter 23, Kadnier teaches a real-time operating system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to include the real-time operating system of Kadnier into the system of Poisner. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification because in order for the invention of Poisner to be used in a time-critical environment the use of a real-time operating system would be necessary. For example in *Nuclear power/energy plant control: Critical control processes that depend on fail-safe response to external events. The computer* 

Application/Control Number: 09/364,317 Page 3

Art Unit: 2184

systems controlling these processes must not only respond correctly, but must do so every time (see Kadnier, Chapter 23, section Initial User Direction and Input).

- b. In column 4, lines 36-41, Poisner discloses an expansion bus bridge that couples the host bridge to an expansion bus (bus system). Devices (peripheral devices) coupled to the expansion bus include a display device, an alphanumeric input device, a BIOS read-only memory, and an information storage device for storing information including an operating system and applications. Further, in column 2, lines 31-52, Poisner discloses that the invention solves the problem of detecting and recovering from computer system malfunctions. A timer is set upon starting the computer. An operating system related software agent running on a processor periodically resets the timer. If the timer expires, an interrupt is generated which causes the processor to execute an interrupt handler, which is unrelated to the operating system. The interrupt includes a PCI interrupt (the stored-program control exchanging data, via a bus system, with a peripheral to be controlled).
- c. In column 3, lines 32-40, Poisner discloses an interrupt handler stored in non-operating system memory space (memory with safety-relevant data stored on it).

Referring to claim 2, in column 4 lines 60-67 continued in column 5 lines 1-9,
Poisner discloses a timer (monitor) which is periodically reset with the value stored in
register (wake-up signal) by the software agent (stored-program control).

Art Unit: 2184

Referring to claims 4 and 10, in column 2, lines 31-52, Poisner discloses that if the timer does expire (function of wake-up signal), an interrupt is generated. The generated interrupt causes the processor to execute an interrupt handler. The interrupt handler attempts to investigate and cure any system malfunction that resulted in the timer expiring. One such interrupt it attempts to correct is Peripheral Component Interconnect interrupts. This involves data exchange with the expansion bus (bus system) and its inherent bus controller because the peripherals are connected to the expansion bus.

Referring to claims 5 and 11, in column 4, lines 36-41, Poisner discloses an alphanumeric input (control signal) connected to an expansion bus (interface), which is in turn connected to the host bus. In column 4, lines 14-26, Poisner discloses that the host bus is used for communicating information, such as instructions and data. Further, attached to the host bus are the processor (controller) and the software agent (stored-program control).

Referring to claim 6, in column 3, lines 32-40, Poisner discloses an interrupt handler (real-time controller) that is executed by the processor (controller) to investigate and cure malfunctions (see Poisner: column 1, lines 26-33). In order for the interrupt handler to investigate and cure software malfunctions, a data exchange must occur between the processor (controller) and the software agent (stored program control) via a host bus (bus system) (see Poisner, figure 2).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/364,317

Art Unit: 2184

Referring to claims 7, 12, and 14, placing at least the controller, the memory, the monitor, and the interface of the safety device on a circuit board is inherent to a device with components such as memories, controllers, watchdog timers, and buses.

Referring to claim 8:

- In column 4, lines 14-26, Poisner discloses a processor, coupled to a host a. bus, for processing information (controller). In column 2, lines 31-52 and in Figure 2, Poisner discloses an operating system-related software agent running on a processor that is separate from the processor (the stored-program control). However, Poisner doesn't explicitly disclose that the operating system is a realtime operating system. In Chapter 23, Kadnier teaches a real-time operating system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to include the real-time operating system of Kadnier into the system of Poisner. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification because in order for the invention of Poisner to be used in a time-critical environment the use of a real-time operating system would be necessary. For example in Nuclear power/energy plant control: Critical control processes that depend on fail-safe response to external events. The computer systems controlling these processes must not only respond correctly, but must do so every time (see Kadnier, Chapter 23, section Initial User Direction and Input).
- b. Further, in column 4, lines 36-41, Poisner discloses an expansion bus bridge that couples the host bridge to an expansion bus (bus system). Devices

Application/Control Number: 09/364,317 Page 6

Art Unit: 2184

(peripheral devices) coupled to the expansion bus include a display device, an alphanumeric input device, a BIOS read-only memory, and an information storage device for storing information including an operating system and applications. Further, in column 2, lines 31-52, Poisner discloses that the invention solves the problem of detecting and recovering from computer system malfunctions. A timer is set upon starting the computer. An operating system related software agent running on a processor periodically resets the timer. If the timer expires, an interrupt is generated which causes the processor to execute an interrupt handler, which is unrelated to the operating system. The interrupt includes a PCI interrupt (the stored-program control exchanging data, via a bus system, with a peripheral to be controlled).

- c. In column 4 lines 60-67 continued in column 5 lines 1-9, Poisner discloses a timer (monitor) which is periodically reset with the value stored in register (wake-up signal) by the software agent (stored-program control).

  Referring to claim 13:
- a. In column 4, lines 14-26, Poisner discloses a processor, coupled to a host bus, for processing information (controller). In column 2, lines 31-52 and in Figure 2, Poisner discloses an operating system-related software agent running on a processor that is separate from the processor (the stored-program control). However, Poisner doesn't explicitly disclose that the operating system is a real-time operating system. In Chapter 23, Kadnier teaches a real-time operating system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the

Art Unit: 2184

invention to include the real-time operating system of Kadnier into the system of Poisner. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification because in order for the invention of Poisner to be used in a time-critical environment the use of a real-time operating system would be necessary. For example in *Nuclear power/energy plant control: Critical control processes that depend on fail-safe response to external events. The computer systems controlling these processes must not only respond correctly, but must do so every time (see Kadnier, Chapter 23, section Initial User Direction and Input)*.

b. Further, in column 4, lines 36-41, Poisner discloses an expansion bus bridge that couples the host bridge to an expansion bus (bus system). Devices (peripheral devices) coupled to the expansion bus include a display device, an alphanumeric input device, a BIOS read-only memory, and an information storage device for storing information including an operating system and applications. In column 4, lines 36-41, Poisner discloses an alphanumeric input (control signal) connected to an expansion bus (interface), which is in turn connected to the host bus. In column 4, lines 14-26, Poisner discloses that the host bus is used for communicating information, such as instructions and data. Further, attached to the host bus are the processor (controller) and the software agent (stored-program control). Further, in column 2, lines 31-52, Poisner discloses that the invention solves the problem of detecting and recovering from computer system malfunctions. A timer is set upon starting the computer. An

Art Unit: 2184

operating system related software agent running on a processor periodically resets the timer. If the timer expires, an interrupt is generated which causes the processor to execute an interrupt handler, which is unrelated to the operating system. The interrupt includes a PCI interrupt (the stored-program control exchanging data, via a bus system, with a peripheral to be controlled).

3. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poisner, U.S. Patent 6,012,154, and further in view of Kadnier, Windows NT 4: The Complete Reference.

Referring to claims 3 and 9, in column 2, lines 2-9, Poisner discloses generating an interrupt when the timer runs out. This interrupt is handled by the interrupt handler, which is internal to the system. Poisner never explicitly discloses providing an output signal displaying the interrupt. The examiner takes Official Notice that in the art of error detecting and displaying it is well known in the art to display the error (operability) in the system (stored-program control). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to include an output signal displaying the interrupt into the system of Poisner. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification because in column 4, lines 1-13, Poisner discloses that the steps of loading the timer, periodically resetting the timer during the boot process and while attempting to cure the malfunction, and performing a more complete system reset can be repeated any number of times. Each time the timer expires, more severe actions can be performed in order to attempt to cure the malfunction. The most severe action might include powering down and then powering up the system. This last action usually

Art Unit: 2184

requires user interaction with the system, therefore there must be an output signal displaying the interrupt to the user.

### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed July 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. On page, under the section Remarks, the Applicant argues, "However, neither the software agent nor the interrupt handler disclosed in Poisner exchanges data with a peripheral to be controlled (emphasis added by Applicant). While Figure 2 of Poisner refers to peripheral devices located across an expansion bus bridge such as a display device and an input device, there is no mention of the software agent or interrupt handler exchanging data with these devices. In particular, there is no indication that the software agent or the interrupt handler can retrieve or transmit data to the peripheral devices during an operating system malfunction." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. First, the Examiner would like to note that Figures 1 and 2 in the Applicant's specification explicitly shows that the stored program control does not directly with the peripherals but rather communicates with a controller that communicates with the peripherals. This contradicts what is claimed and what is disclosed on page 4, lines 22-28 of the Applicant's specification. Second, in column 2, lines 31-53, Poisner discloses that the interrupts include PCI interrupts. PCI interrupts mean that the interrupt handler and the software agent have to communicate with the peripherals. Further, in column 3, lines 20-21, Poisner discloses that other malfunctions are possible including the operating system waiting for a misbehaving peripheral. Still

Art Unit: 2184

further, in column 3, lines 36-40, Poisner discloses that the interrupt handler attempts to investigate and cure the malfunction that allowed the timer to expire. It is possible for the interrupt handler to attempt to cure a broad range of possible system malfunctions. For these reasons, the Examiner maintains that the interrupt handler and software agent communicate with the peripherals.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C Maskulinski whose telephone number is (703) 308-6674. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-5 and Fri. 7:30-4 (second Fri.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2184

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

MM

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 11